rayny

Enantioselective Synthesis of Substituted δ -Lactones by Cooperative Oxidative N‑Heterocyclic Carbene and Lewis Acid Catalysis

Srikrishna Bera, Constantin G. Daniliuc, and Armido Studer*

Organisch-Chemisches Institut, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität, Correns[stra](#page-3-0)ße 40, 48149 Münster, Germany

S Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-3-0) Efficient construction of complex cylcopentane- or cyclohexane-fused δ-lactones employing redox activation of enals using a chiral N-heterocyclic carbene and LiCl as cooperative catalysts is described. The organocascade proceeds with excellent diastereo- (>99:1) and enantioselectivity (up to >99% ee) and comprises the formation of three bonds with three contiguous stereocenters.

Several powerful strategies have been developed for the stereoselective construction of complex molecules starting from readily accessible materials using argumentalisis $\frac{1}{n}$ Along from readily accessible materials using organocatalysis.¹ Along these lines, N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) catalyzed cascade reactions have been found to be highly valuable.² Stereo[se](#page-3-0)lective construction of highly substituted five- and six-membered carbocycles by NHC catalysis is a highly acti[ve](#page-3-0) research area. Notably, such carbocycles can be found as important substructures in many bioactive natural products 3 and pharmaceuticals.

For example, iridoids are a class of compounds consisti[n](#page-3-0)g of a cyclopentane ring fused to a six-membered oxygen heterocycle (Figure 1). Iridoids are monoterpenoid natural products with

Figure 1. Biologically active iridoids.

over 250 known members occurring in marine and terrestrial ecosystems.^{4a} They have gained widespread attention due to their diverse pharmacological effects, showing anticancer, antibacteria[l,](#page-3-0) and antiflammatory activities. $4b, c, 5$ Surprisingly, only a few methods are known for the synthesis of iridoid type lactones which mostly require multiple synth[etic s](#page-3-0)teps.⁶

We report herein a strategy for the construction of the iridoid core structure using oxidative NHC catalysis.^{7,8} NH[C-c](#page-3-0)atalysis has been successfully used to build up 5-membered carbocycles via conjugate addition reactions. For exampl[e, i](#page-3-0)n 2007 Scheidt and co-workers published an elegant NHC-catalyzed sequence for the preparation of disubstituted indanes using intramolecular homoenolate chemistry (Scheme 1).⁹ Four years later, we disclosed an efficient organocascade for the synthesis of 1,2,3 substituted indanes by oxidative NHC [c](#page-3-0)atalysis.¹⁰ In this work, the two Michael acceptors used in the cascade to build up the 5 membered carbocycle are integrated into the [sa](#page-3-0)me molecule separated from each other by an arene moiety. This particular

substrate design restricts the method to the preparation of indane derivatives, and fully saturated cyclopentane compounds cannot be accessed by this approach. We also showed that α -substituted malonates react by oxidative NHC catalysis with enals to give highly substituted cyclopentanes bearing a β -lactone moiety.¹ LiCl was used as a cooperative catalyst, and only a single Michael acceptor is involved in the cascade.

As a further extension, we planned to design an intermolecular organocascade with two separated Michael acceptors as reaction partners. As compared to the previous reports, substrates are more readily prepared and the iridoid skeleton should be easily accessible via this double Michael pathway using oxidative NHC catalysis. The organocascade involves the formation of two C−C

Received: July 6, 2015 Published: October 8, 2015 bonds along with one C−O bond with three contiguous stereogenic centers. Notably, there are only a few examples of double Michael addition reactions using α,β-unsaturated acyl azoliums as intermediates.¹²

Investigations of this organocascade commenced with cinnamaldehyde 1a and [ma](#page-3-0)lonate 2a as the model substrates. Bisquinone 3^{13} (1.2 equiv) was chosen as the oxidant, and triazolium salts A^{14} and B^{15} were selected as achiral and chiral NHC precata[lys](#page-3-0)ts in the optimization studies. It was found that, with achiral triaz[oliu](#page-3-0)m salt [A](#page-3-0) (10 mol %) and DBU as a base in THF, 4aa comprising the core structure of the iridoid natural products was obtained in 65% yield (Table 1, entry 1). Lactone

Table 1. Reaction Optimization

^aYield of the isolated product, and all reactions were run with 0.1 mmol of 2a. b Determined by HPLC (see Supporting Information).

"O mol % catalyst was used n d = not determined $\frac{d_{\text{Run}}}{}$ with 0.2 10 mol % catalyst was used. n.d. = not determined. d Run with 0.2 mmol of 2a.

4aa was formed with complete diastereocontrol. Disappointingly, the enantioselective version upon switching from catalyst A to B (10 mol %) provided only a trace amount of the desired bicycle 4aa. Varying solvents from THF to toluene and prolonging the reaction time afforded a slightly improved result. However, a poor yield (15%) was still obtained in the best case and decomposition of the starting material was noticed under the applied conditions (Table 1, entries 2−4).

To increase reactivity, LiCl was added as a Lewis acid because we and others have shown that cooperative Lewis acids improve the efficiency of such organocascades.^{11,16} LiCl as a cocatalyst should have the ability to interact with both the substrate 2a as well as the in situ generated acyl azoliu[m ion](#page-3-0) (LUMO activation). Pleasingly, in the presence of 20 mol % LiCl and DBU as a stoichiometric base, lactone 4aa was formed with chiral catalyst B in 65% yield with complete diastereocontrol and 74% enantioselectivity (Table 1, entry 5). Varying the solvent from THF to $CH₂Cl₂$ provided a diminished result (only 13% of 4aa

was isolated) (Table 1, entry 6). A far better outcome (nearly quantitative yield and an increase in ee from 74% to 88%) was observed upon switching to iPr_2E tN as a base in THF (Table 1, entry 7). Other solvents such as $CH₂Cl₂$, toluene, and MeCN in combination with $iPr₂EtN$ did not provide better results (Table 1, entries 8–10). With $Cs₂CO₃$ in THF, selectivity remained high but the yield slightly decreased (Table 1, entry 11). The best result was achieved with 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) as a base in THF, and 4aa was isolated in an excellent yield (97%) and high enantioselectivity (90% ee) (Table 1, entry 12). Reducing the loading of LiCl provided a lower yield and a lower selectivity (Table 1, entry 13).

With optimized reaction conditions in hand, we explored the scope and limitations of the organocascade (Scheme 2). Keeping

substrate 2a as a ketone component, various enals were tested first. We found that cinnamaldehyde derivatives bearing electrondonating substituents at the para-position of the arene ring are working well while a slight decrease in ee was observed for the corresponding derivatives bearing para-electron-withdrawing substituents. In particular the para-chloro-substituted compound provided a lower ee (see 4ea). The absolute configuration of 4ba was unambiguously assigned by X-ray crystallography (see Scheme 2). The configuration of all other iridoid type lactones 4 prepared in this study was assigned in analogy. Notably, all compounds prepared in this series were formed with complete

diastereocontrol. The 2-furylenal 1f also delivered the targeted lactone 4fa which was isolated in 86% yield with 91% ee. A lower selectivity was achieved with crotonal (see 4ga) showing the aryl substituent in the cinnamaldehyde derivatives positively influences selectivity.

We next investigated the effect of the ester groups in the malonate component 2 on the selectivity and found that benzyl malonate 2b ($R^2 = Bn$, $R^3 = Me$) provides the lactones 4ab–4cb and 4eb in the reaction with enals 1a−c and 1e, as compared to the ethyl malonates with slightly improved enantioselectivities. A malonate of type 2 bearing two different ester groups $(CO₂Et,$ $CO₂Bn$) reacted with 1a in low diastereoselectivity with respect to the quaternary malonate C-center (dr = $1.6:1$, 63%, ee = 87% for each isomer; not shown in Scheme 2, see Supporting Information). We next replaced the methyl group in vinyl ketone 2a by an aryl substituent. Reactivit[y in the reac](#page-1-0)tion with 1a was lower, but selectivity remained high for the phenyl or pmethoxyphenyl-substituted vinyl ketones 2c and 2d (see 4ac and 4ad).

To demonstrate flexibility, we tested homologue 5 in the reaction with various enals under the optimized conditions which should deliver bicyclic lactones comprising two 6-membered rings (Scheme 3). Gratifyingly, with cinnamaldehyde 1a the

targeted cyclohexane-fused δ -lactone 6a was formed in 98% yield with excellent diastereoselectivity (99:1) and excellent enantioselectivity (99% ee).

The higher reactivity and selectivity obtained with 5 as compared to the lower homologues 4 are general as could be shown by the reactions of enals 1b and 1c bearing electron-rich substituents at the *para-position* of the aryl group. The lactones 6b and 6c were isolated in excellent yields and enantioselectivities (>99% ee). The relative and absolute configurations of 6b were assigned on the basis of X-ray analysis (see Supporting Information), and the other derivatives were assigned in analogy. Also the more electron-poor enal $1d$ with the p -nitro substituent (a problematic substrate with $2a$) gave, in the reaction with 5 , the corresponding lactone 6d with high yield and selectivity, and even the para-chloro substituted cinnamaldehyde 1e showed, in the formation of 6e, good yield and selectivity. Moreover, a very good result was also achieved with the furyl substituted enal 1f to provide 6f with complete diastereocontrol with 98% ee and high isolated yield (90%).

Our suggested reaction mechanism for the formation of lactone products of type 4 is depicted in Scheme 4. Formation of

Scheme 4. Suggested Mechanism

homologues 6 works in analogy. At first, enal 1 reacts with carbene B′ generated by deprotonation of B to generate the Breslow intermediate which is oxidized by 3 to provide the corresponding acyl azolium ion 7. Deprotonated 2 then engages in a 1,4 addition^{8f} to the acylazolium species to give enolate 8. Michael-type cyclization of the enolate onto the enone moiety affords 9 which [ev](#page-3-0)entually undergoes intramolecular lactonization to release the product and catalyst B′. Alternatively, enolate 8 can undergo an endo-hetero-Diels−Alder reaction which after fragmentation of B′ also delivers the product 4.

The absolute stereochemistry can be explained by considering model 11, where the Li-ion interacts with both the acylazolium and malonate. The cis-selective second C−C bond formation is controlled by complexation of the Li-enolate with the enone oxygen atom (see 12). The significantly higher enantioselectivities obtained for the 6,6-bicyclic systems 6 as compared to those of compounds 5 deserve some comments. It is not obvious why the initial conjugate addition of the malonate derived from 5 occurs with far higher stereoselectivity than the initial addition of the 2-derived malonates. We currently assume that in both cases the addition is not perfectly stereoselective. In the case of intermediate 8, cis-5-exo cyclization is fast for both diastereoisomers and the initial selectivity is reflected by the ee obtained for the final product. However, for the corresponding enolate derived from 5, only the major diastereoisomer cyclizes after lactonization eventually leading to 6. The minor diastereoisomer likely undergoes a retro-Michael-addition to 7 and 5-Li. Hence, the nonperfect selectivity is corrected by the reversibility of the initial Michael addition accompanied by an inefficient (very slow) cis-cyclization of the minor diasteroisomer enolate. This error correction 10 ensures high selectivity and also allows very high overall yields to be obtained.

In summary, the introduced organocascade utilizing NHC and LiCl as cooperative catalysts offers an efficient approach to cyclopentane- and cyclohexane-anellated δ -lactones by successive formation of three new σ -bonds along with three stereocenters and two rings in a single sequence from readily prepared starting materials. LiCl turned out to be essential for obtaining high yields in the enantioselective version of the cascade. Our approach opens novel routes to the synthesis of valuable natural product analogues containing substituted cyclcopentanes and cyclohexanes fused with a lactone moiety. The described organocascade reactions are experimentally easy to perform by simply mixing the catalyst, substrates, and reagents at ambient temperature. All reactions occur with complete diastereocontrol with good to excellent enantioselectivity.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b01932.

Experimental details, characterization data for the products (PDF)

Supplementary crystallographic data (CCDC-1414262 and CCDC-1414263) (CIF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: studer@uni-muenster.de.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the SFB858 and the NRW Graduate School of Chemistry.

■ REFERENCES

(1) For selected reviews on organocatalytic cascade reactions, see: (a) Enders, D.; Grondal, C.; Huttl, M. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1570. (b) Walji, A. M.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Synlett 2007, 2007, 1477. (c) Yu, X.; Wang, W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 2037. (d) Zhou, J. Chem. - Asian J. 2010, 5, 422. (e) Grossmann, A.; Enders, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 314.

(2) For reviews on NHC catalysis, see: (a) Enders, D.; Niemeier, O.; Henseler, A. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5606. (b) Marion, N.; Diez-Gonzalez, S.; Nolan, S. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2988. (c) Nair, V.; Vellalath, S.; Babu, B. P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 2691. (d) Moore, J. L.; Rovis, T. Top. Curr. Chem. 2009, 291, 77. (e) Nair, V.; Menon, R. S.; Biju, A. T.; Sinu, C. R.; Paul, R. R.; Jose, A.; Sreekumar, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5336. (f) Bugaut, X.; Glorius, F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3511. (g) Douglas, J.; Churchill, G.; Smith, A. D. Synthesis 2012, 44, 2295. (h) Vora, H. U.; Wheeler, P.; Rovis, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 1617. (i) Mahatthananchai, J.; Bode, J. W. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 696. (j) Hopkinson, M. N.; Richter, C.; Schedler, M.; Glorius, F. Nature 2014, 510, 485. (k) Yetra, S. R.; Patra, A.; Biju, A. T. Synthesis 2015, 47, 1357. (l) Flanigan, D. M.; Romanov-Michailidis, F.; White, N. A.; Rovis, T. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 9307. (m) Menon, R. S.; Biju, A. T.; Nair, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 5040.

(3) Barrero, A.; Quilez del Moral, J. F.; Herrador, M. M.; Rodriguez, H.; Morales, M. C. P. Curr. Org. Chem. 2009, 13, 1164.

(4) For reports on iridoids, see: (a) El-Naggar, L. J.; Beal, J. L. J. Nat. Prod. 1980, 43, 649. (b) Dinda, B.; Chowdhury, D. R.; Mohanta, B. C. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2009, 57, 765. (c) Dinda, B.; Debnath, S.; Banik, R. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2011, 59, 803. (d) Tundis, R.; Loizzo, M. R.; Menichini, F.; Statti, G. A. Mini-Rev. Med. Chem. 2008, 8, 399.

(5) Willot, M.; Radtke, L.; Kö nning, D.; Frö hlich, R.; Gessner, V. H.; Strohmann, C.; Christmann, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9105. (6) (a) Candish, L.; Lupton, D. W. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4836. (b) Lee, S.; Paek, S.-M.; Yun, H.; Kim, N.-J.; Suh, Y.-G. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3344. (c) Hilgraf, R.; Zimmermann, N.; Lehmann, L.; Troger, A.; Francke, W. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2012, 8, 1256.

(7) For recent reviews, see: (a) Knappke, C. E. I.; Imami, A.; von Wangelin, A. J. ChemCatChem 2012, 4, 937. (b) De Sarkar, S.; Biswas, A.; Samanta, R. C.; Studer, A. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 4664. (c) Albanese, D. C. M.; Gaggero, N. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 2014, 5631. (8) For selected recent reports on NHC catalyzed generation of α , β unsaturated acyl azoliums and their reactions, see: (a) De Sarkar, S.; Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9266. (b) Mahatthananchai, J.; Zheng, P.; Bode, J. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1673. (c) Lyngvi, E.; Bode, J. W.; Schoenebeck, F. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 2346. (d) Kravina, A. G.; Mahatthananchai, J.; Bode, J. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9433. (e) Biswas, A.; De Sarkar, S.; Tebben, L.; Studer, A. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5190. (f) Samanta, R. C.; Maji, B.; De Sarkar, S.; Bergander, K.; Frö hlich, R.; Mü ck-Lichtenfeld, C.; Mayr, H.; Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5234. (g) Candish, L.; Lupton, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 58. (h) Yetra, S. R.; Kaicharla, T.; Kunte, S. S.; Gonnade, R. G.; Biju, A. T. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5202. (i) Cheng, J.; Huang, Z.; Chi, Y. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8592. (j) Mo, J.; Shen, L.; Chi, Y. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8588. (k) Candish, L.; Levens, A.; Lupton, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 14397. (l) Mondal, S.; Yetra, S. R.; Patra, A.; Kunte, S. S.; Gonnade, R. G.; Biju, A. T. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 14539. (m) Yetra, S. R.; Mondal, S.; Suresh, E.; Biju, A. T. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1417.

(9) Phillips, E. M.; Wadamoto, M.; Chan, A.; Scheidt, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3107.

(10) Biswas, A.; De Sarkar, S.; Frohlich, R.; Studer, A. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4966.

(11) See also: Bera, S.; Samanta, R. C.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9622.

(12) (a) Zhang, H.-R.; Dong, Z.-W.; Yang, Y.-J.; Wang, P.-L.; Hui, X.-P. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4750. (b) Fang, X.; Jiang, K.; Xing, C.; Hao, L.; Chi, R. Y. Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 1950.

(13) (a) De Sarkar, S.; Grimme, S.; Studer, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010,

- 132, 1190. (b) De Sarkar, S.; Studer, A. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1992.
- (14) Ling, K. B.; Smith, A. D. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 373.
- (15) Struble, J. R.; Bode, J. W. Org. Synth. 2010, 87, 362.
- (16) For NHC catalysis in the presence of Lewis acids as cocatalysts, see: (a) Raup, D. E. A.; Cardinal-David, B.; Holte, D.; Scheidt, K. A. Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 766. (b) Cohen, D. T.; Scheidt, K. A. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 53. (c) Mo, J.; Chen, X.; Chi, Y. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 8810. (d) Dugal-Tessier, J.; O'Bryan, E. A.; Schroeder, T. B. H.; Cohen, D. T.; Scheidt, K. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4963. (e) Zhang, Y.; Lu, Y.; Tang, W.; Lu, T.; Du, D. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 3009. (f) Xiao, Z.; Yu, C.; Li, T.; Wang, X.-S.; Yao, C. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3632.